

Reasons for Selene's right have not been violated

- Law is in the best interests of the child
- Selene's rights under s.15 has not been violated because the law does not withhold her from a benefit or advantage
- Other children who fall under a similar group or situation as Selene are not subjected to historical disadvantage, stereotyping, or prejudice
- Family status is not a confirmed analogous ground by SCC
- The law does not apply inequality based on Selene's personal characteristics and respects her rights
- There is no evidence of discrimination because Selene has not had her rights violated based on a enumerated or analogous ground
- Selene will receive equal benefit of the law and will be placed in safe hands
- Selene is protected under the law. There is a risk in her safety if she lives in prison with her mom. Thus, it is much better for her to live else where
- s.15 equality rights are a main guarantee of the impact of the law on a individual or group. Selene is in no way negatively impacted by the law
- s.15 is not a general guarantee of equality. Differential treatment does not always result in inequality. s.15 (2) recognizes this and provides laws, programs and activities for people like Selene who might fall under disadvantaged groups
- The law does not make a bad distinction by not allowing Marie to keep Selene with her in prison. It makes a distinction that is necessary to govern society and maintain ethics